

# UPCommons

**Portal del coneixement obert de la UPC**

<http://upcommons.upc.edu/e-prints>

---

© 2018. Aquesta versió està disponible sota la llicència CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

© 2018. This version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

---

# Marangoni Stress Induced by Free-Surface for Pressure Reduction in Reverse Osmosis

Francisco J. Arias<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Fluid Mechanics, University of Catalonia,  
ESEIAAT C/ Colom 11, 08222 Barcelona, Spain*

(Dated: January 11, 2018)

Marangoni hydrodynamic motion and its potential technological application in reverse osmosis (RO) process for seawater desalination is discussed. The fundamental core idea in this note is the possibility to take advantage of the inherent concentration gradient in a RO process. It is well known that to run a RO process it is necessary to apply a hydrodynamic pressure to overcome the osmotic pressure, however, by inducing a free-surface, e.g., a Leidenfrost surface, on the membrane wall, an additional hydrodynamic Marangoni stress could be generated, which, likewise than the osmotic pressure is driven by the concentration gradient but acting in the opposite direction, i.e., reducing the external hydraulic pressure to be applied. Utilizing a simplified geometrical and physical model, an analytical expression for the pressure reduction was derived. One important preliminary result in this work, is that the Marangoni stress can provide pressure against the osmotic pressure for membrane porous are less than micrometric size.

**Keywords.** *Reverse Osmosis, Marangoni stress, Concentration gradient*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Reverse osmosis (RO) encompasses several of the most important filtration process for industrial exploitation, namely: -microfiltration; -ultrafiltration;- nanofiltration. In short, because molecules naturally move from areas of high concentration to low concentration, then if it is desired that molecules move from areas of low concentration to high concentration it is necessary to apply an external pressure. The pressure difference on both sides of the membrane will cause the permeate to cross the membrane at a steady state, hence, the name pressure driven operation.

Whereas RO process is one of the most researched fields in membrane technology and embracing many topics, e.g., optimization, new materials, performance; [1]-[16], just to name a few, nevertheless, there are still aspects which deserve an attack from a theoretical point of view.

The object of this note was a first preliminary investigation on the possibility of Marangoni induced stress (by inducing a free-surface) and its use with regard to pressure-driven membrane filtration technology. The justification behind of the idea is straightforward: the salinity gradient which is causing the osmosis pressure which must be overcome to run the filtration process by applying an external hydrodynamic pressure, can actually generate an opposite force (Marangoni stress) which can counteract the osmotic pressure and then reducing the effective applied external pressure which translates into

a reduction of cost of the filtration process.

## II. STATEMENT OF THE CORE IDEA

### A. Momentum considerations

For the sake of generality, we will consider a simple problem of motion of an incompressible viscous fluid with a certain concentration. Let the fluid be enclosed between two parallel walls (top and bottom walls of a rectangular membrane). Also, in the top wall a free-surface is induced. Such a free-surface could be induced by, say, a Leidenfrost surface created by heating the surface beyond its critical heat flux CHF, or by injecting gas. Suffice is to say, that generating a sustained Leidenfrost surface for water or similar liquids does not requires substantial power input if one takes into account the length scale of the porous, e.g., for the case of water the critical heat flux (CHF) is approximately 1000 kW/m<sup>2</sup> and if one considers porous sizes of micrometric size or even smaller, then the power needed per porous will be very low. Fig. 1 is a sketch of the problem considered.

Now, if it is desired to run a pressure-driven filtration operation, i.e., the separation of solvent from the highly concentrated solution (left side in Fig. 1) and going to the low concentration side (right side in the same figure., in order to do this, it is necessary to apply an external hydraulic pressure, let us call this as  $\Delta p$ . This pressure must be able to sustain the flow against the hydrodynamic forces opposing this motion namely, skin drag friction and the osmotic pressure  $\pi$ . However, in addition, because the induced free surface, we have an additional force at the free surface or Marangoni

---

\*Corresponding author: Tel.: +93 73 98 666; Electronic address: francisco.javier.arias@upc.edu




FIG. 1: Physical model for the two parallel planes with a free surface at the top of the channel.




FIG. 2: Schematics Physical model for the two parallel planes with free surface at the top.

stress which is favoring the Ro-filtration, i.e., pushing the liquid from the high concentration towards the low concentration. From this simple generalized picture, we can start our momentum considerations.

To begin with, the Navier-Stokes equations for the system schematically depicted in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 is reduced to the following set of equations, [17]

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \rho g \quad (2)$$

where  $u$  is the velocity of the fluid ( $u = u(x)$ );  $z$  is the vertical axis;  $x$  the longitudinal-axis;  $p$  is the pressure;  $\rho$  the density of the fluid;  $\mu$  the dynamic viscosity; and  $g$  the gravity.

If we express the pressure as

$$p = \rho g z + p_x(x) \quad (3)$$

then Eq.(1) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{dp_x(x)}{dx} \quad (4)$$

since  $p_x(x)$  does not depend on  $z$  coordinate. Solving Eq.(4) we obtain

$$u = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{dp_x(x)}{dx} z^2 + c_1 z + c_2 \quad (5)$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants to be determined by proper boundary conditions.

The boundary conditions are

$$u(z = h) = 0 \quad (6)$$

and the Marangoni stress at the free surface  $z = 0$  results

$$\left. \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x} \right|_{z=0} = -\mu \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0} \quad (7)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the surface tension of the liquid. By applying those boundary conditions, we obtain

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{d\gamma}{dx} ;$$

$$c_2 = \frac{h}{\mu} \frac{d\gamma}{dx} - \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{dp_x(x)}{dx} h^2 \quad (8)$$

which inserted into Eq.(5) yields

$$u = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{d\gamma}{dx} (h - z) - \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{dp_x(x)}{dx} (h^2 - z^2) \quad (9)$$

Finally, the pressure drop along the plate can be calculated from the mass fluid velocity (averaged over the depth of the liquid) as

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h u dz \quad ; \quad (10)$$

and after integration one obtains

$$\frac{dp_x(x)}{dx} = \frac{3}{2h} \frac{d\gamma}{dx} - \frac{3\mu}{h^2} \bar{u} \quad (11)$$

Taking into account that in our case the surface tension gradient is driven by a concentration gradient, therefore, Eq.(11) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{dp_x(x)}{dx} = \frac{3}{2h} \frac{d\gamma}{dc} \frac{dc}{dx} - \frac{3\mu}{h^2} \bar{u} \quad (12)$$

where  $c$  is concentration. From Eq.(1), because  $u$  is only function of  $z$  and  $p_x(x)$  of  $x$  then we deduce that  $\frac{dp_x(x)}{dx} = \text{constant}$ ; then, the pressure gradient may be written as  $-\frac{\Delta p}{l}$ , where  $l$  is the length of the channel, and  $\Delta p$  is the positive pressure drop (the inlet pressure minus the outlet pressure). Thus, Eq.(12) becomes

$$\Delta p \approx \frac{3}{2h} \frac{d\gamma}{dc} \Delta c + \frac{3\mu l}{h^2} \bar{u} \quad (13)$$

where  $\frac{dc}{dx} \approx -\frac{\Delta c}{l}$ , being  $\Delta c$  the positive change in concentration (the high concentration minus the low concentration), and where if  $\Delta c = 0$  - or not free surface exist, we recover, of course, the expression for a fluid enclosed between two parallel planes, [17].

Finally, we need to add the additional external hydraulic pressure needed to overcome the resulting osmotic pressure  $\Delta\pi$  between both sides, and then, the final expression for pressure drop is given by

$$\Delta p \approx \frac{3}{2h} \frac{d\gamma}{dc} \Delta c + \Delta\pi + \frac{3\mu l}{h^2} \bar{u} \quad (14)$$

The above expression may be further simplified by taking into account that the osmotic pressure is dependent -as the Marangoni term, of the concentration gradient. Indeed the osmotic pressure can be expressed as a function of a certain pressure taken as reference, let us call  $\pi_o$

the osmotic pressure at a given reference concentration, say  $c_o$ , and then the osmotic pressure can be written as

$$\Delta\pi = \frac{\pi_o}{c_o} \Delta c \quad (15)$$

and then, Eq.(14) can be expressed as

$$\Delta p = \underbrace{\left( \frac{\pi_o}{c_o} + \frac{3}{2h} \frac{d\gamma}{dc} \right) \Delta c}_{\text{concentration}} + \underbrace{\frac{3\mu l}{h^2} \bar{u}}_{\text{drag}} \quad (16)$$

because  $\frac{d\gamma}{dc} < 0$ , it is instructive using its absolute value, i.e.,  $\frac{d\gamma}{dc} = -\left| \frac{d\gamma}{dc} \right|$ , and then

$$\Delta p = \underbrace{\left( \frac{\pi_o}{c_o} - \frac{3}{2h} \left| \frac{d\gamma}{dc} \right| \right) \Delta c}_{\text{concentration}} + \underbrace{\frac{3\mu l}{h^2} \bar{u}}_{\text{drag}} \quad (17)$$

The above expression is showing clearly that Marangoni stress is acting in the opposite direction than osmotic pressure and reducing the total external pressure required to run the pressure-driven membrane filtration operation.

## • Discussion

For membrane technology, it can be assumed that the longitudinal length of the membrane  $l$  is approximately equal than the width, i.e.,  $l \sim h$  and in any case this condition can be established by design. If so, Eq.(17) becomes

$$\Delta p \approx \left( \frac{\pi_o}{c_o} - \frac{3}{2h} \left| \frac{d\gamma}{dc} \right| \right) \Delta c + \frac{3\mu}{h} \bar{u} \quad (18)$$

According with Eq.(18) the Marangoni stress only will start to play any significant role if the width of the membrane is small enough to overcome the osmotic pressure, by a balance in the terms inside the brackets, this situation implies

$$\frac{3}{2h} \left| \frac{d\gamma}{dc} \right| \geq \frac{\pi_o}{c_o} \quad (19)$$

Let us consider the desalination of seawater. In this case,  $\pi_o \approx 2.7 \times 10^6$  Pa for  $c_o = 1.13$  mol/l, the change in surface tension for water is around  $3 \times 10^{-2}$  N/m/(mol/l) or thereabouts. With these parameters we obtain  $h \leq 26$  nm. The resulting curve is shown in Fig. 3 for the ratio between the required pressure with Marangoni stress  $\Delta p$  and without Marangoni stress  $\Delta p_o$ . Referring to Fig. 3, it is seen that, inducing Marangoni stress in membranes can provide important reduction in the external pressure when the dimensions of the membrane fall below  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ .




FIG. 3: The reduction of the hydraulic pressure by inducing a Marangoni stress as function of the width of the membrane.

### III. SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this note consideration was given to the possibility of taking advantage of the concentration gradient in reverse osmosis (RO) process for desalination by inducing a Marangoni stress term from an induced free-surface. It was shown that Marangoni induced stress can provide some reduction of the hydraulic pressure to be applied for membrane sizes below  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ .

### NOMENCLATURE

$c$  = concentration  
 $c_1$  = constant  
 $c_2$  = constant  
 $g$  = gravity  
 $h$  = width of channel  
 $l$  = length of the channel  
 $p$  = pressure  
 $u$  = velocity  
 $x$  = the  $x$ -axis longitudinal co-ordinate  
 $z$  = the  $z$ -axis vertical co-ordinate

### Greek symbols

$\gamma$  = surface tension  
 $\mu$  = dynamic viscosity  
 $\pi$  = osmotic pressure  
 $\rho$  = density of liquid

### subscripts symbols

$o$  = reference value

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under fellowship grant Ramon y Cajal: RYC-2013-13459.

### IV. REFERENCES

- [1] A.M. Blanco-Marigorta, A. Lozano-Medina, J.D. Marcos. 2017 .The exergetic efficiency as a performance evaluation tool in reverse osmosis desalination plants in operation. Desalination. 413. p.p. 19-28
- [2] Junhyung Park, Kwang Soon Lee. 2017 . A two-dimensional model for the spiral wound reverse osmosis membrane module. Desalination. 416. p.p. 157-165
- [3] Pedro Cabrera, Jose A. Carta, Jaime Gonzalez, Gustavo Melian. 2017. Artificial neural networks applied to manage the variable operation of a simple seawater reverse osmosis plant. Desalination. 416. p.p. 140-156
- [4] Mustafa Usta, Ali E. Anqi, Alparslan Oztekin. 2017. Reverse osmosis desalination modules containing corrugated membranes-Computational study. Desalination. 416. p.p. 129-139
- [5] Rong Ma, Yan-Li Ji, Yao-Shen Guo, Yi-Fang Mi, Quan-Fu An, Cong-Jie Gao. 2017. Fabrication of antifouling reverse osmosis membranes by incorporating zwitterionic colloids nanoparticles for brackish water desalination. Desalination. 416. p.p. 35-44
- [6] Mahdie Safarpour, Vahid Vatanpour, Alireza Khataee, Hamed Zarrabi, Peyman Gholami, Mohammad Ehsan Yekavalangi. 2017. High flux and fouling resistant reverse osmosis membrane modified with plasma treated natural zeolite. Desalination. 411. p.p. 89-100
- [7] Morteza Taherinejad, Shahram Derakhshan, Adel Yavarinasab. 2017. Hydrodynamic analysis of spi-

- ral wound reverse osmosis membrane recovery fraction and permeate water flow rate. *Desalination*. 411. p.p. 59-68
- [8] Bongchul Kim, Gimun Gwak, Seungkwan Hong. 2017. Analysis of enhancing water flux and reducing reverse solute flux in pressure assisted forward osmosis process. *Desalination*, In Press, Corrected Proof, Available online 27.
- [9] Minmin Zhang, Qianhong She, Xiaoli Yan, Chuyang Y. Tang. 2017. Effect of reverse solute diffusion on scaling in forward osmosis: A new control strategy by tailoring draw solution chemistry. *Desalination*. 401. p.p. 230-237
- [10] Mohammad Rouina, Hamid-Reza Kariminia, Seyyed Abbas Mousavi, Eshaq Shahryari. 2016. Effect of electromagnetic field on membrane fouling in reverse osmosis process *Desalination*. . p.p. 41-45
- [11] Khaled Touati, Fernando Tadeo. 2016. Study of the Reverse Salt Diffusion in pressure retarded osmosis: Influence on concentration polarization and effect of the operating conditions *Desalination*. 389. p.p. 4171-186
- [12] Senthil S., Senthilmurugan S. 2016. Reverse Osmosis-Pressure Retarded Osmosis hybrid system: Modelling, simulation and optimization *Desalination*. 389. p.p. 478-97
- [13] Bilal Ahmed Qureshi, Syed M. Zubair. 2016. Energy-exergy analysis of seawater reverse osmosis plants *Desalination*. 385. p.p. 4138-147
- [14] Daisuke Saeki, Tsuyoshi Yamashita, Akihiro Fujii, Hideto Matsuyama. 2015. Reverse osmosis membranes based on a supported lipid bilayer with gramicidin A water channels *Desalination*. 75. p.p. 48-53
- [15] Y. Saif, A. Almansoori. 2015. Synthesis of reverse osmosis desalination network under boron specifications *Desalination*. 371. p.p. 26-36
- [16] S.M.Javaid. Zaidi, F. Fadhilah, Z. Khan, A.F. Ismail. 2015. Salt and water transport in reverse osmosis thin film composite seawater desalination membranes *Desalination*. 368. p.p. 202-213
- [17] Landau L.D., Lifshitz E.M., *Fluid Mechanics*. Pergamon Press. 1959.